

NO ROOM FOR ROYALTY

In the doom that the anti-Christ imagines he is going to set down upon the whole world, "come the millenium" as the devil-controlled media has it, there will, of course, be no room for royalty. The would-be usurper of the King of Kings obviously cannot allow the evidences of any kind of kingship any longer to be displayed – even in fancy dress – upon the stage prepared for the entrance of the master of the chosen race arrayed to reign over the godless, kingless, universal republic.

With this in mind, we should not be surprised at the number of unwitting and witting royal gaffes reported in the

so-called national press during last month. The public having such short memories for "Yesterday's news", we do well to recall the more significant.

1). Someone "forgot" that Her Majesty, The Queen of England *could* not visit Poland and *not* visit the scene of the most significant "Holocaust" of them all – Auschwitz!

Though that catastrophe was avoided at the last hour, Her Majesty was so absent-minded or possibly agitated, that in her scheduled speech to the assembled "survivors", no mention was made of their lost ones and their own tragic history. Well, that is what the TV viewers round the world presumably thought at the time. The next day it was made known that it was just an unfortunate



Princess Diana takes centre stage under the watchful eye of former US Secretary of State and Bilderberger, Henry Kissinger.

computer error... No unforgivable insult intended; Her Majesty could still be welcomed by Poland's Chief Rabbi.

2). A smiling Prince of Wales was pictured in *The Daily Mail* with head and shoulders wrapped in alien gear as a compliment to "our Asian brethren"; this can only mean that Our Blessed Lord, Jesus Christ, who became man to save us from damnation, must have His Divinity doffed before Mohamet, and that, by the heir to the throne as representing the British people in their own land, whose monarch is subtitled "Defender of the Faith"; or is this no longer true in the mind of our alleged ruler? As she has declared herself "A Citizen of Europe", which we know is intended as a mere stepping stone to a world republic, perhaps the royal citizen has no option but to declare herself "Defender of all Faiths – or none", as we understand will be the dictum of the New Order.

3). Again, in *The Daily Mail* of April 1st, 1996, its readers were regaled with the "sex change plans of the Queen Mother's surgeon," who was reported to have played a 'crucial role' in her hip operation last year. He is now saying that, "He is known to his friends as 'Sarah' and that he "felt he would rather be a woman than a man" and "had been thinking of having a sex change operation."

Was this a *Daily Mail* April fool or a transexual? Which even if it was, what kind of political climate generates such news as a commonplace?

4). The next on the woeful list was a little light relief contained in reports of Carole Thatcher's biographical mention of her mother's devastation when she was forced to realise that her resignation from the Premiership had become imperative in the face of certain defeat. Seemingly she had become so immersed in the ephemeral play of party politics that she had no idea that her usefulness in that particular production of her Bilderberger backers was past; the star role was to be re-allocated and she was unready for demotion to obscurity in the "Life Peers' Retirement Home".

Every "Conspiracy Student" must have long been aware that her stint on centre stage was over but she, like her predecessor, Churchill, had become an adulation addict and now satisfies the craving by flitting round the world,

financed by the Thatcher Foundation millions, repeating the erstwhile Churchillian role in the current act in the 'long run' of the World Government ploy – although the stage is now somewhat crowded with 'extras'.

How are the little ones so cynically reduced.

5). And lastly: We have the latest revelation in the meteoric career of the much publicised Diana, Princess of Wales, (*pro-tem?*): Behold – she has a mentor! – and what is so newsworthy about that you may ask? Only note the identity of "the mentor" he is none other than Henry Alfred Kissinger; ex-K.G.B., 'cold war' spy; now international manipulator, successor to our old, familiar, Bernard Mannes Baruch, advisor to five U.S. Presidents... "The Uncrowned King of the United States", who came to England in 1945 to, in his own words, "wave the big stick over the big boys to see they don't foul up the peace."

That this treacherous Bilderberger, internationalist has been chosen to guide this particular 'Royale' in the way she – and her sons? – should go, should cause no surprise, we should surely understand the significance of these public boasts of the subordination of the Royal Family to the *diktat* of anticipatory world governors – can almost hear them licking their kosher chops.

After all, the eldest son of the Prince of Wales had Armand Hammer* for Godfather, and that was whilst he was a massive contributor to the offences of Communist Russia whilst Britons were being drowned in usurious debt for defences allegedly to oppose it.

Why should there be any room in Buckingham Palace when there was none in the Inn in Bethlehem?

R. de B.

EXPERTS IN DUPLICITY

A *Candour* reader has some unanswered questions to ask with regard to the so-called "search for peace" in Northern Ireland and to this end has sent his local M.P., Sir Geoffrey Johnson Smith D.L., a letter in which he puts over some very telling points:–

Dear Sir Geoffrey,

I am surprised by John Major's claims to be "taking risks" in the search for a permanent peace in Northern Ireland. It is beyond dispute that the Sinn Fein/IRA campaign has the objective of gaining by terror, the authority which it cannot achieve through elections. Peace will only happen when the IRA is destroyed militarily or forced to quit by heavy losses, not by some tough-sounding but empty words delivered in the Commons or disgusting pleas to resurrect its 'ceasefire' from weak men.

I feel sure that you are aware of the arithmetic which confirms repeatedly, over many years, that the vast majority of the population of Ulster does not favour a United Ireland. You may even be aware that in a number of recent political opinion polls commissioned during the last 12 months in N.I. by Coopers & Lybrand and Channel 4, the number of people expressing a preference for unity, even amongst Catholics, is minimal. Even the *Irish Times* of 12 October 1995 reported a speech by Mgr

Denis Faul who said that 60% to 70% of the North's Catholic population did not want unity and would abstain if there was a referendum on the border.

Interestingly, in August 1995, a Coopers & Lybrand poll in N.I. declared that 17% gave a United Ireland as their preference, whilst in Scotland, 34% of Scottish people favoured independence!

For the U.K. Government, to involve the criminal mafia of Sinn Fein/IRA and call it a 'peace process' is to insult those whose lives have been lost or destroyed, as well as the intelligence of those who recognise political duplicity when they see it. To appease, negotiate, and plead with armed terrorists and murderers, whilst the Governments and peoples of the Irish Republic and U.S.A. give them sanctuary and financial support is a matter for the shame of their collaborators in the U.K. Government. Major is not engaging in a 'peace process', but the continuation of a long-term act of 'damning Ulster by feint support' intended to lead to its abandonment. He will fail, and your party will be exposed for its part in the current conspiracy.

As you are a 'Friend of Israel', I suggest you compare the Israeli treatment of Hamas with your Government's treatment of Sinn Fein/IRA. Are you a supporter of the 'Friends of the Union'?

The electorate is at last getting the message about your party's true agenda on a wide variety of issues. Whilst committing thousands of British troops, and massive sums of taxpayers' money, in foreign ventures not remotely the business of the U.K. nor threatening to us in any way, your Government stubbornly refuses to end the terror campaign in our own Nation by a small number of criminals, known to security forces, who cause death and destruction whilst U.K. Government officials and Ministers negotiate with their spokesmen. It is impossible to justify any other conclusion than that your Government is guilty of collusion.

I welcome your detailed comments on the following:–

1. The extent of the role which our Government has afforded to the Government of Eire over N.I. Dick Spring has now taken up residence in an office in Stormont (last week he was there three days) and is jointly deciding with Sir Patrick Mayhew the type of body and the form of forthcoming elections. Ulster is sovereign U.K. territory, and decisions concerning its electoral processes and suitability of its participants are an internal matter solely for the U.K. and not a matter for the Governments of the Irish Republic or U.S.A.

2. Where does the Government stand now on the question of Sinn Fein's admission to the democratic process? It is imperative that before any question of the admission of Sinn Fein to any part of the democratic process, prior commitment to exclusively democratic means must be proved and established beyond question and this can only be satisfied by the total surrender of IRA arms and no fudging of the issue. There can be no question of 'trust' with the likes of Adams and

* Armand Hammer, American billionaire.

McGuinness who have blood on their hands. Only constitutional parties can be permitted to participate in any talks or elections. To treat Sinn Fein and IRA as separate bodies is a charade in which your Government has long participated.

3. Will the U.K. Government be urgently inviting the Eire Government to speedily remove its illegal territorial claim in Articles 2 & 3 of the 1937 Constitution of the Irish Republic? Apart from the insult which this indicates, the claim clearly breaches European Law.

You may, or may not, know that Gerry Kelly, who is not a member of Sinn Fein, has been attending exploratory talks at Stormont. Kelly, an active member of the IRA Army Council, is current IRA Chief of Staff succeeding Martin McGuinness at the end of last year. He was involved in the Old Bailey bombing, escaping from prison and organising the escape of over 35 prisoners from HMP Maze. You probably know that Martin McGuinness was featured in two lengthy and detailed television programmes in which he was publicly accused of involvement in murder. I understand that McGuinness was the subject of a document forwarded to the DPP but unfortunately it was somehow 'lost'!

This week senior representatives of the Official Unionist Party, including David Trimble and John Taylor, had a meeting with representatives of the Government of the Irish Republic in Dublin. One of the representatives of the coalition Irish Government was its Social Welfare Minister, Pádraig de Rossa. This man was later interviewed in BBC Newsnight and asked about his IRA background including his internment. I am told that his

background includes active membership of the Official IRA/Republican Clubs grouping and it was this very mob which shot John Taylor seven times and very nearly killed him. Mr de Rossa's inclusion in the talks was a nice touch which reveals the true nature of the Eire Government.

What sort of creatures construct a massive bomb containing nails, bolts or anything they can use to kill and maim as many people as possible? Just consider, your Government is asking law-abiding Ulster people to negotiate the future of their Country with a small section of people in their midst who give active support and encouragement to such evil scum.

Finally, let me express my surprise that the people of Ulster should repeatedly and steadfastly continue to wish to express allegiance to the Queen and Nation whose Government declares, "The British Government has no selfish strategic or economic interest in Northern Ireland". To a people which has, with courage and fortitude, borne of their Christian beliefs, withstood 26 years of a determined and bloody campaign of terror in order to maintain loyalty to Great Britain, these words express Tory sentiments which are profoundly insulting. They are also deeply insulting to other Britons who understand what Ulstermen have contributed to our Nation and our National survival in two world wars and the losses Ulster sustained in the process.

However, they will not surprise those who view the direction and standards of the Conservative Party in recent decades.

Yours Sincerely,

R.M. Tunbridge Wells.

ISRAELI RACISM CAUSES RIOTS

Explosive new evidence has come to light – in part, from dozens of reopened graves – suggesting that the sort of mass atrocities Zionist propaganda has blamed on Germany since the 1940's were, in reality, planned and perpetrated, not by any of Europe's nationalist leaders, but by the government of Israel.

Thousands of small children belonging to Sephardic (Oriental) Jewish immigrants were seized – often wrenched from the arms of their mothers – on arrival in the ministate in the 1950s and 1960s, a year-long investigation by international refugee administrators has reported.

The children were bundled into trucks and driven off by armed guards. Some were subsequently used in inhuman medical experiments. Others were forcibly relocated and placed in the custody of "superior" European Jewish foster families.

"It's a bombshell of a scandal and it has set Israeli society afire," said Jürgen Liedke, an International Red Cross refugee administrator who has returned recently from a stint in the Middle East.

"At first the (Israeli) government just flatly denied the whole thing," he added. "But then a witness from their

own ranks stood up and confessed. What he said was more shattering than any suicide bombing."

The witness, identified as Ami Hovev, a former Israeli immigration inspector, told the influential Tel Aviv daily newspaper *Yediot Ahronot* that "hundreds, perhaps thousands" of children from immigrant Yemenite Jewish families were "taken away" by the authorities. Their parents never saw them again.

Hovev, who also participated in a recent internal investigation into the fate of the kidnapped children, admitted that a "substantial number" of them were secretly consigned for "unmerciful" medical experiments and then buried in lime pits.

"I know that they (Israeli physicians in state hospitals) conducted experiments on living (Sephardic) children, extracting phosphorus and bone marrow from the spinal cord," Hovev declared on Israeli TV.

The scandals drew reactions of horror and apprehension from the ministate's neighbors. "At last we're seeing the real Israel," wrote Lebanese columnist Emanuel Khouri. "To think that a people capable of such crimes against humanity now also have atomic weapons is terrifying."

(cont. next page).



Long Live Evita! — Madonna Get Out!. Protesters vent their anger at Madonna for her new role in portraying Evita Peron.

ISRAELI RACISM CAUSES RIOTS

The poor and often barely literate Sephardic Jewish immigrants from nations such as Yemen, whose young were seized, were told by Israeli officials that, under standing immigration regulations, it was necessary to hospitalize underage arrivals from the East briefly for "tests" and "examination."

But once taken, the children never returned. All the families received was an official notice that their child had died in the hospital.

Now, the Yemenite Jewish community, led by a militant rabbi of its own, is in revolt. Scores of graves dating back to the 1950s and 1960s have been recently dug up at their demand. "Most were found empty," Liedke said.

The children supposedly buried in them had been entrusted, under false names, to European Jewish families who were, in the view of the Israeli government, "superior" to the children's own poverty-stricken parents.

Although the scandal has generated banner headlines throughout the Middle East, in the United States, press coverage has been almost nil.

Reprinted, with acknowledgements, from; The Spotlight, 300 Independence Ave., SE, Washington, D.C. 20003.

MADONNA PLAYS EVITA

"Only *I* can do Eva Peron," so stated the "pop star" Madonna of her starring role in the current £26 million movie *Evita*, (based on the stage musical of the same name by Sir Andrew Lloyd Webber and Tim Rice). She continued, "she went hungry like me, and like me she left her hometown in order to succeed. I went to New York and she went to... São Paulo." Fine as far as it goes but one wonders if the rest of her research will be on the same level. São Paulo, of course, being in Brazil and Eva Duarte, as she then was, was Argentinian and headed for her capital city of Buenos Aires!

Ever since the movie project was announced a backlash has been building up in Argentina against it in general and against Madonna in particular. Older Peronists, who remember Eva Peron's tireless work for the poor, are enraged by the choice of Madonna for the part, saying she will promote the image of Evita as a power-hungry nymphomaniac. Some Argentinians though are delighted that the image of Evita will be so tarnished. The Argentinian upper classes have never forgiven her for the "hatred" they deemed she preached against them, nor for her humble roots.

At least the movie, like the stage musical, has the advantage of awakening some people to the phenomenon that is Evita. Some will see beyond the "pop culture icon" image of Evita that is for the most part (though admittedly not always) being presented. Already many column inches of newsprint have been filled with fact, comment and opinion, much of the latter of course fatuous. Mary Kenny in the *Sunday Telegraph* (5/11/95) went so far as to claim our shy, retiring Princess "Di" for the role of "*Evita for the nineties*". Refreshingly Elaine Paige, who played the part of Evita in the first run of the musical in the West End of London, did not have a bad word to say about Evita in a recent *South Bank Show* interview with Melvyn Bragg. (Mr Bragg himself passed comment to the effect that some people tend to see Evita through 'rose-tinted spectacles'. Perhaps because for us there are so few women that we can hold up as *examples* in the struggle?). Indeed she was shown visiting the offices of the Eva Peron Foundation and sitting at the desk where Evita would listen to the problems of the *descamisados* and often give money there and then to those most in need or sign chits authorising action immediately from the responsible authority or official. The signature of Evita was enough to stir the most pompous or complacent bureaucrat 'pronto'. Elaine Paige was also granted rare access to the film archives of the Foundation and some of this featured in the programme. Remember, when the inevitable hype for the movie is bombarding you, that a small but growing number of people know the truth about this and much more. Spread the word!

There lies the heart of the matter. When governments lose the instinct to play for their own side the nations which suffer them are sunk in decadence. Pray Heaven we British may one day find the strength to **STOP THE ROT.**

A.K. Chesterton, Candour, 1955.

WHAT DOES IT MEAN TO BE ENGLISH?

A Position Paper by John R. Jeffrey

My remarks here I preface with a quote from page 5 of MFME's pamphlet RACE CULTURE & IDENTITY: "the culture of a group (or population) is both a collective memory and an action programme, going beyond – but still dependent on – biological instincts". A statement to which I add my belief in a blood-line continuous memory, albeit on a subconscious level; with my own going back to the Germanic origins of my surname: hence a wider empathy with all such peoples, in their several European countries of settlement. Also, before going further, I mention my abhorrence of weasel words of 'political correctness' and the language of expediency that cloaks the nakedness of the infamous lie – both verbal instruments, along with the reductionist abstraction that negates holistic consideration of the tribal group and the loyalties which inform it, serving the present Money Power desire for manipulable individual uncertainty and the weakness of the organised group. So my words are not for those who refuse to face unpalatable facts.

And the first unpalatable fact to be faced is that of the (in)glorious part of the 1688 revolution of merchants and other moneyed people, coming to fruition in 1694 (the year of the founding of the Bank of England) and resulting in the financing of all future wars through perpetual debt born by the Nation: eventually providing the means to the making of the British Empire. For since the said Empire ceased to be, the indigenous inhabitants of these islands, and the common threads which formerly bound them as a Nation of distinct regional groupings, have become seriously threatened by the recent and continuing mass influx of immigrants and refugees... to the advantage only of the same kind of credit-creators who printed the first paper tokens of authorized legal tender forming the larger part of the loan with which the Bank of England began. A Money Power seemingly determined to mostly replace the now limited usefulness (to the latter) of the 'collective memory', with the closed-circuit recollections of such as the 'couch-potato', the 'pop craze' addict and similar pliable characters: creating a temporary fool's paradise for some – of drugs, day-dreams, and vicarious drama of various kinds (with that of the 'soap' supreme). Identity-sapping indulgences, as part of the backwash from a watery but acidic culture of greed and (lawyer-led) venality.

Finding it antithetical to write of a "rich mixture of cultures in which many of us now live", the question as to "what place has Englishness in (the latter)" is for me the reversal of the right one – i.e. what place have other ethnic identities amongst Englishness? For while ancestral roots set down and established over centuries of time are indicative of a prior (human) right of a people to remain in the defined territory of those from whom they descended, the claim to settlement of a conquering people and that of a colony of *Einwanderer* (immigrants) can be more tenuous, and has proved to be notably temporary

many times throughout history. In connection with this, it is worth noting that Bradford's colony of Asians alone contains a total of people around 10,000 more than the number of British at the peak of the Raj presence in the whole of the Indian sub-continent – an area of land over 32 times that of Britain. And while but one of the realities contributing to the neutering of Britain as a Nation (and its regions as distinct cultural entities) – begun with the weakening of its manhood due to two world wars – the "very high intensity sub-culture(s), often with territorial heartlands" (to which their colonies here appear to act as bridgeheads for further expansion), are the most damaging to the identity of the indigenous peoples – not to mention other costs.

Other realities concerning the above-mentioned neutering in the interests of the global-dictatorship ambitions of the Money Power are such as the surrender of sovereignty to a European bureaucracy and buy-outs of British (English etc.) ownership and control of indigenous assets; the sell-off of the Nation's 'family silver', though initially in part to members of the public, eventually providing in some cases a monopoly of captive customers to a majority of foreign shareholders. A situation that has come about because, for example, politicians appear to be mostly servants of the said pecuniary monstrosity, and the spiritual leadership that once played a significant role in the past life of the Nation seems to have become largely soporific – notwithstanding the recent Catholic versus Protestant violence in Northern Ireland – to the advantage of some recently-arrived religions.

Therefore, in the light of all the foregoing, though as burning issues other than a beacon, I summarize my answer to the question "What does it mean to be English" thus:– At this moment in time, my feelings tell me that it means being one of the wider ethnic cultural majority who regard themselves as having been betrayed. However, I am not pessimistic about it. For if the "coming titanic contest" – mentioned on page 9 of the previously mentioned pamphlet – commences before the above-said neutering of the Nation etc. becomes too far advanced to avoid its completion, I am hopeful of some sturdy resistance to the latter.

THE ELIZABETHAN BLACKS

by Arthur Purnell

In 1596 Elizabeth I sent a letter to the Lord Mayor of London and other cities saying that according to "Her Majesty's understanding.. there are of late divers blackamoors brought into these realms, of which kind there are already here to manie, considerynge how God had blessed this land with great increase of people..." (Acts of the Privy Council XXVI, 1596-1597).

In July of that year the monarch again expressed her views about black settlers, asserting "... that those kinde of people may be well spared in this realme, being so populous..." (Acts of the Privy Council XXVI, 1596-1597).

(cont. next page).

Despite her efforts to rid the country, badly afflicted by hunger and poverty, of "those kinde of people," by 1601 the problem was still felt to be so severe that the ageing Queen issued a Royal Proclamation ordering all "blackamoors" out of the kingdom.

Royal Proclamation of 1601; Licensing Casper van Senden to deport negroes.

"Whereas the Queen's majesty, tendering the good and welfare of her own national subjects, greatly distressed in these hard times of dearth, is highly discontented to understand the great number of negroes and blackamoors which (as she is informed) are carried into this realm since the troubles between her highness and the King of Spain; who are fostered and powered here, to the great annoyance of her own liege people that which co(vet?) the relief which these people consume, as also for that the most of them are infidels having no understanding of Christ or his Gospel: hath given a special commandement that the said kind of people shall be with all speed avoided and discharged out of this her majesty's realms; and to that end and purpose hath appointed Casper van Senden, merchant of Lubeck, for their speedy transportation, a man that hath somewhat deserved of this realm in respect that by his own labor and charge he hath relieved and brought from Spain divers of our English

nation who otherwise would have perished there.

These shall therefore be to will and require you and every of you to aid and assist the said Caspar van Senden or his assignees to taking such negroes and blackamoors to be transported as aforesaid as he shall find within the realm of England; and if there shall be any person or persons which be possessed of any such blackamoors that refuse to deliver them in sort aforesaid, then we require you to call them before you and to advise and persuade them by all good means to satisfy her majesty's pleasure therein; which if they shall oftsoons willfully and obstinately refuse, we pray you to certify their names to us, to the end her majesty may take such further course therein as it shall seem best in her princely wisdom."

Proclamation by the Lord Mayor of London, September 14, 1731.

"It is ordered by this Court, that for the future no negroes or other Blacks be suffered to be bound Apprentices at any of the Companies of the City to any Freeman thereof; and that copies of this order be printed and sent to the masters and wardens of the several companies of this city, who are required to see the same at all times hereafter duly observed."

Source: "The Black Presence" by James Walvin. "A Documentary History of the Negro in England", 1555-1860.

THE BLAIR INTERVIEW

From our Scotland Correspondent

As Tony Blair left his meeting on Clause Four in which he declared his intention to take the wealth and power away from the 'few' and give it to the 'many', "Great speech Tony" I said, giggle and smile from Tony, "But you didn't get the clue" I began. "What clue?", asked Tony.

Well the meeting was organised by TESCO and they have been one of the organisations that have been grabbing power and wealth away from the many and concentrating it into their own greedy hands over the last fifty years. Thousands of small shopkeepers have been driven out of business, town centres have become almost derelict by the continual advance of the chain stores.

"Well, said Tony, that is just the natural order of things we can't do anything about it".

"It was't really natural was it, we didn't get a heat wave and five hundred Tesco's sprang up or a thunderstorm and 500 Sainsbury's appeared, no they were created when Ted Heath abolished Retail Price Maintenance that led to the end of

local shopkeepers and local manufacturers.

But lets get back to your speech, all you have to do is to pass an act of Parliament to limit the ownership of one store to one person, now that's not very revolutionary, the Tories made a limit on the number of Tied Houses the brewers could own, its true they made a mess of it, and by a bit of Share juggling not very much happened.

But you could do it differently Tony, transfer the equity of each store to the workers there, they would elect the directors to run the store. Tony interrupted, "You mean let 18 year old check-out girls elect the store directors?"

"Well you want those 18 year old check-out girls to elect you to No. 10?", "Yes of course, but that's democracy", said Tony.

"Yes I am afraid it is. But to get back to redistributing wealth, the capital that was invested in the store could be converted to a fixed interest, say 2 per cent, Bond so there would be no need for public money to buy

out the shareholders."

Tony giving yet another grin but still managing to look worried said, "It's wild-cat finance the City will never stand for it".

I replied, "how funny, that's exactly what Snowden the Labour Chancellor said in 1930 when he was given a plan to end unemployment, so he did nothing and we had mass unemployment until we were dragged in to the Second World War."

"But you could be different Tony after all you've got your 'smart card', Gordon Brown, he could go in and sort the City out."

"No, No", said Tony, going white, "Gordon is doing very well in the City, they ask him to lunch nearly everyday, he's really got them eating out of his hand."

"They invite him to lunch then they eat out of his hand? Strange old world is't it."

"Anyway", I said, "are you going to make a start on redistributing wealth and power?"

"I don't know", said Tony, "I wasn't making promises I was just

making a speech, I shall have to ask Alistair about it. Remember you could'nt have all that TV advertising if we didn't have national shopping chains that would take a lot of money away would'nt it?"

"Would that be a bad thing?" "Overpaid sportsmen, overpaid entertainers, why some of them even get more than the boss of British Gas."

"Well", said Tony, if advertisers are stupid enough to throw their money about why should we complain?"

While Tony grinned yet again, I said Tony its not the advertisers money, it is taken from the housewife every time she goes shopping, 5p extra on a packet of tea, 10p on a jar of coffee, 10p on a packet of cereals, right through the list we all pay for the advertising budget whether we like it or not. Taking money from the 'many' poor and giving it to the 'few' rich, not very Christian, Tony, you must be careful if you want to get your son into that 'posh' school.

Of course this interview never took place, but if you meet Tony Blair ask him does he really want to redistribute wealth and power? Or was it just another 'soundbite' dreamed up by Alistair?

He could make a move, copy the Tories, (always a good vote catcher Tony), copy the Tory brewery restrictions, break up the chain stores, return power to the People, one man one shop, fixed interest Bonds so no need to buy out existing shareholders. How about it Tony?

ANTIPODEAN ANTICS

Strewth clobber, it looks like its not just us whingeing Poms who have fairies at the bottom of the garden. That bastion of macho pride, Australia, has had its first transexual parliamentary candidate, M(r/s) Julie Peters. The election, which coincided with the Gay and Lesbian Mardi Gras in Sydney, was held in a Melbourne suburb, "Wow, I had no idea. She'll get my vote in that case," said a Labour Party member, after being told that she was a former he. However it took Russell Palmer, "drunk and poet", to introduce some common sense into the political debate, "You mean she's a poofter. I'm 190 per cent against poofters. I'd never vote for them."

There is some hope, in Tasmania, male homosexuality is still illegal and North Queensland MP, Bob Katter, has declared his area a gay-free zone, he said he would "walk to Bourke backwards" if "the poof

population of North Queensland is any more than 0.001%, then adding, "Mind you, if the percentage is what they say it is in the rest of Australia, then I think I'll take to walking everywhere backwards."

For St. George's Day:—

THE PRIVATE OF THE BUFFS

Some Sikhs and a private of the Buffs having remained behind with the grog carts, fell into the hands of the Chinese. On the next morning they were brought before the authorities, and commanded to perform the *Kotow*. The Sikhs obeyed; but Moyse, the English soldier, declaring that he would not prostrate himself before any Chinaman alive, was immediately knocked upon the head, and his body thrown on a dunghill — *The Times*.

*Last night, among his fellow roughs,
He jested, quaffed, and swore,
A drunken private of the Buffs,
Who never looked before.*

*To-day, beneath the foeman's frown,
He stands in Elgin's place,
Ambassador from Britain's crown,
And type of all her race.*

*Poor, reckless, rude, low-born, untaught,
Bewildered, and alone,
A heart, with English instinct fraught,
He yet can call his own.
Aye, tear his body limb from limb,
Bring cord, or axe, or flame:
He only knows, that not through him
Shall England come to shame.*


*Far Kentish hop-fields round him seem'd,
Like dreams, to come and go;
Bright leagues of cherry-blossom gleam'd
One sheet of living snow;
The smoke, above his father's door,
In grey soft eddyings hung:
Must he then watch it rise no more,
Doom'd by himself so young?*

*Yes, honour calls! — with strength like steel
He put the vision by.
Let dusky Indians whine and kneel;
An English lad must die.
And thus, with eyes that would not shrink
With knee to man unbent,
Unflinching on its dreadful brink,
To his red grave he went.*

*Vain, Mightiest fleets of iron framed;
Vain, those all-shattering guns;
Unless proud England keep, untamed
The strong heart of her sons.
So, let his name through Europe ring—
A man of mean estate,
Who died, as firm as Sparta's King,
Because his soul was great.*

Sir F.H. Doyle

AN ENGLISHMAN'S HOME



Following on from last month's article, *For Your Interest*, a practical example of the iniquities of usury was to be found in *The Daily Telegraph* recently. In 1982 a married couple, Mr and Mrs Whittall, took out a secured loan of £1,400. The debt, as at December 1995, stood at £152,175 and, theoretically, could reach £890,000 by the turn of the century.

The loan was arranged with monthly interest set at 3.529%. However repayments began to be missed after the first year due to the borrower becoming unemployed; full monthly payments resumed after he found a new job. "How can we possibly borrow £1,400, pay back £5,000 and end up owing £152,000? I don't believe anyone could take it seriously," said Mrs Whittall. The money-lender said that "It is the mathematical law of compound interest", and (in December 1995) was seeking possession of the borrowers' house estimated to be worth £40,000.

A spokesman for The Office of Fair Trading, with which the money-lenders' firm is registered said, "Although the figures seem quite incredible, this is by no means unique."

ADVERTISEMENTS

BOOKS FROM FOREST HOUSE

The Homosexual Challenge - Analysis and Response: Family Solidarity.

Published in Ireland after the European Court of Human Rights decided that Ireland's laws prohibiting homosexual acts (buggery and gross indecency between males) were in breach of the European Convention on Human Rights. How the ideology of the Homosexual Movement, along with its slogan, "Out of the closets and into the streets", works for society's acceptance. In 1973 the American Psychiatric Association's board of trustees voted to remove homosexuality from its list of disorders, and instead called it "Sexual Orientation Disturbance", after demonstrations by pro-homo activists. 64 pages. £2.50. **Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire GU33 7DD.**

ROOTS OF EVIL

Legalized Thieves: The Banks

Never have so many been taken in about so much by so few. Numerous examples of how the truth has been turned upside-down.

104 page illustrated book £2.50 including postage. R. Porter, Box 88, Stowmarket, Suffolk, IP14 1EE.

FINAL CONFLICT

Against capitalism and communism. Read Final Conflict for only £6 for five issues. **Write to BCM 6358, London, WC1N 3XX**

LEGION TAPES

For a full listing of our nationalist and revisionist audio tapes send an SAE to **Legion Tapes, BCM 6358, London, WC1N 3XX.**

SPEARHEAD

Monthly magazine published in support of the British National Party. **Sample copy £1.30p from PO Box 117, Welling, Kent, DA16 3DW.**

FREEDOM VIDEOS

ENGLAND, WHOSE ENGLAND? + NF IN ACTION!

Sometime ago Freedom Videos received, anonymously, a large reel of 16mm film. Eventually we got around to transferring the film to video and found that the reel contained what is possibly the only known copy of **England, Whose England?** This film was produced in the early 1970's and has gained a certain amount of fame since the days when it was shown at Monday Club and National Front meetings and its subsequent disappearance. **NF IN ACTION!** features a collection of short films made by James MacIntyre in support of the National Front. Included are *The Battle of Lewisham*, *National Front at Leicester*, *Remembrance Day* and *Red Front Under Attack* among others. This video is a fascinating record of the mass marches and rallies the Front held in the '70's. This video also includes footage of the Brixton and Toxteth riots. (2 Hours 10 Mins. Colour).

Price: £14.99 (please add 10% p&p. For orders outside Europe please add 15%). Freedom Videos, Box 1, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire, GU33 7DD.

NEW 20 PAGE CATALOGUE OUT NOW. PLEASE SEND 2X 1ST CLASS STAMPS FOR YOUR COPY.

RIISING BOOKS

The Thirteenth Tribe: Koestler. Famous Jewish writer destroys the Jewish claim to Palestine by showing that the vast majority of today's 'Jews' are not Semites from the Middle East, but the descendants of the Asiatic Khazars who converted to Judaism in the tenth century. £5.50. Overseas customers kindly add 10% to cover postage & packing. **Rising Books, Box 2, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire, GU33 7DD.**

CANDOUR SUBSCRIPTION RATES

U.K. £15 OVERSEAS £20 U.S.\$30 AIRMAIL PER ANNUM

CHEQUES AND POSTAL ORDERS MADE PAYABLE TO THE A.K. CHESTERTON TRUST